



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,765	07/19/2001		Robert Allan Unger	SONYP007/50P4287	2505
7590 12/15/2005			EXAMINER		
Jonathan O O	wens			DUNN, MI	SHAWN N
Haverstock & (Owens I	LLP		<u> </u>	
162 North Wolfe Road				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Sunnyvale, CA 94086				2616	
				DATE MAIL ED. 12/15/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/909,765	UNGER, ROBERT ALLAN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mishawn N. Dunn	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If NO period f - Failure to repl Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAI time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ly within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2001</u> .					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
close	d in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of	Claims						
4a) 00 5)	n(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. If the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn(s) is/are allowed. n(s) 1,17 and 22 is/are rejected. n(s) 2-16,18-21 and 23-29 is/are objected n(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. to.					
Application Pa	ipers						
10)∐ The d Applic Repla	pecification is objected to by the Examine rawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a continuous not request that any objection to the according the correct ath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by the ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice of Dr 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) /Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 17, and 22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inoue et al. (US Pat. No. 5889919).
- 3. Consider claim 1. Inoue et al. teaches an apparatus for processing a video signal to produce normal quality video for display on a display device and so as to inhibit the making of high quality reproductions therefrom while allowing lower quality reproductions to be made, the apparatus comprising: a first signal modification element which alters the video signal to produce a first modified video signal that is viewable as normal quality video on the display device (col. 3, lines 54-67; col. 4, lines 1-12), and wherein the first modified video signal, if reproduced, produces a video copy of unusable quality (col. 4, lines 57-60); and a second signal modification element which alters the video signal to produce a second modified video signal of reduced quality that can be reproduced using a reproduction device (fig. 1). The processing of the signal deteriorates the video signal; therefore the video that is reproduced is one of reduced quality.
- 4. Consider claim 17. Inoue et al. teaches an apparatus for processing a video signal so as to inhibit the making of high quality videotape recordings therefrom while

Art Unit: 2616

producing a normal picture on a display device, the apparatus comprising: a video decoder for decoding a video signal to provide a clean video signal (col. 3, lines 54-67; fig. 1); a first signal modification element which alters the clean video signal to produce a first modified video signal which is of unusable quality when output for reproduction thereby inhibiting the making of usable copies therefrom (col. 4, lines 57-60), and wherein the first modified video signal is viewable as high quality video when displayed on a display device (col. 3, lines 54-67; col. 4, lines 1-12); and a second signal modification element which alters the clean video signal such that a reduced quality version of the content is produced and output for reproduction.

5. Method claim 22 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding apparatus claim 17 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-16, 18-21, and 23-29 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mishawn N. Dunn whose telephone number is 571-272-7635. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,765 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on 571-272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James J. Groody
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 262-260
